

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,502	06/01/2001	Jason John Rutherglen	0104632-991110	1520
36716 7590 01/08/2007 LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100			EXAMINER	
			BAUM, RONALD	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	09/872,502	RUTHERGLEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Ronald Baum	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI RR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 June 2006.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alle		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	orgin priority under ou orolo.	3 (4) (4) (5. (1).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/872,502

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in reply to applicant's correspondence of 19 June 2006.
- . 2. Claims 1-38 are pending for examination.
- 3. Claims 1-38 remain rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Software per se is not patentable such that the software method (i.e., executable code or executable method software part of/associated with an object instantiated, loaded, remotely accessed, etc.) must be embodied on a computer readable media. Claim 1 is directed towards "An apparatus for ... the apparatus: a first application capable of ... one or more proxy objects ...", clearly an apparatus claim with software limitations. Claims 2-38 recite similar associations between apparatus, means plus function variations and software claim limitations in various combinations and independent and dependent forms. For the sake of applying art, the burden on the examiner would be unreasonable insofar as in, for example, the case of the proxy aspects of the claim limitations for the claims as filed, a distinction between a proxy in the form of software or hardware would clearly necessitate a determination of what classes are to be searched in order to resolve the ambiguities involved, which may result in restriction issues (i.e., software proxies associated with security/security mechanisms versus network art per se dealing with hardware proxies and associated embodied software).

Application/Control Number: 09/872,502

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The argument above for the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection analogously applies in the case of the applicants claims insofar as a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 is concerned.

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner 6. should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3861, and whose unofficial Fax number is (571) 273-3861 and unofficial email is Ronald.baum@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami, can be reached at (571) 272-4195. The Fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. For more information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner